

Criteria

Title: Senior Good Governance Consultant for EUACI's support to Integrity Cities in Ukraine

Quality		80%
Methodology		10%
Qualifications and Competence of Staff		90%
Senior Good Governance Consultant for EUACI's support to Integrity Cities in Ukraine	50%	
General Qualifications	30%	
Adequacy for the Assignment	50%	
Experience in the Region and Language	20%	
Price		20%
Total price-quality ratio		100%
Minimum level of performance		2.00
Maximum budget net of VAT		470,850.00 DKK

Method of Evaluation

The most economically advantageous tender will be identified based on the award criterion
A numerical score is allocated to each of the sub-criteria in relation to Quality depending on the

8: Exceptional

7: Very Good

6: Good

5: Above Expectations

4: Satisfactory

3: Below Expectations

2: Poor

1: Very Poor

0: Unacceptable

In the evaluation of the sub-criteria related to Quality, the responsible unit will consider the
The points will be awarded individually. Therefore, it is possible that no tender will be awarded
Tenders that fail to achieve the **minimum level of performance** (total score in relation to
Provided that the responsible unit has stated a **maximum budget** (DKK) net of VAT, tenders
The responsible unit will evaluate the Price on the basis of the Contract Price as defined in the
The tender with the lowest Price will be awarded a score of 8 points and the other tenders will
be awarded points using the following formula:

$$\text{Points in relation to Price} = \text{lowest Price} * 8 / \text{Price}$$

The responsible unit will calculate the total score of the tender by weighting and adding the